



Inward Turbulent Diffusion of Plasma in a Levitated Dipole

Columbia University



LDX Experimental Team

Ryan Bergman, Alex Boxer, Matt Davis, Jennifer Ellsworth, Darren Garnier, Jay Kesner, Mike Mauel, Phil Michael, Paul Woskov



"Outward" Particle Diffusion

(Brownian Motion due to Random Velocity Fluctuations)

$$X(t + \Delta t) = X(t) + \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} dt' \tilde{V}(t')$$

where $\langle \tilde{V} \rangle = 0$
then $\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} D \frac{\partial N}{\partial X}$
with $D = \int_{0}^{t \to \infty} dt' \langle \tilde{V}(t') \tilde{V}(0) \rangle$
 $= \tau_{cor} \tilde{V}_{RMS}^{2}$
 $N(x, t)$

"Inward" Diffusion in Magnetized Plasma

(Flux tube Motion due to Random Low-frequency E×B Fluctuations)



Saturday, November 14, 2009

1.8

S81002027

time (s) - 5.001

- 5.025

S81002020

time <mark>(s)</mark> - 5.001

> 5.0<mark>02</mark> 5.005

- 5.0<mark>10</mark> - 5.0<mark>25</mark> 1.8

5.0<mark>02</mark> 5.0<mark>05</mark> 5.010

"Inward" Diffusion in Magnetized Plasma

(Flux tube Motion due to Random Low-frequency E×B Fluctuations)



Turbulent "Inward Pinch"

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} D \frac{\partial N}{\partial \psi}$$
$$D_{\psi,\psi} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \oint_0^t dt \langle \dot{\psi}(t) \dot{\psi}(0) \rangle$$
$$\equiv \langle \dot{\psi}^2 \rangle \tau_{cor} = R^2 \langle E_{\phi}^2 \rangle \tau_{cor}$$

Levitated: Density (Particles/cc)



"Inward" Diffusion in Magnetized Plasma

(Flux tube Motion due to Random Low-frequency E×B Fluctuations)



Centrally peaked profiles result from turbulent interchange mixing: Electrostatic Self-Organization

Naturally peaked profiles **sustained steady-state** by microwave heating.

Levitated: Density (Particles/cc)



Outline

- Plasma confinement in a dipole magnetic field: Laboratory Magnetosphere
- Transport due to low-frequency fluctuations: Electrostatic Self-Organization
- Levitated Dipole Experiment (LDX)
- Comparing discharges confined by a Supported and Levitated superconducting magnet
- Observation of the **turbulent inward particle pinch** and measurement of random **E**×**B** motion at edge.
- Turbulent transport of **entropy density**, $G = P\delta V^{\gamma}$
- On-going research...

Happy Anniversary: 50 Years of Declassified Fusion Research

- Geneva, September 1958: "Second UN Conference on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy"
- 5,000 delegates, 2,150 papers
- Fusion research in U.S., U.K., and U.S.S.R. **declassified**





Happy Anniversary



NASA founded October 1, 1958

YEARS

Discovery of the radiation belts Explorer 1 (January 31, 1958) and Explorer III (March 26, 1958)



Space & Laboratory Magnetic Confinement



Dynamics driven by self-generated instability; Energy from applied heating (RF, beams)

Gravity and rotation important

Dynamics driven from sun;

Energy flux from sun

Space & Laboratory Magnetic Confinement



- How well are particles and energy confined?
- What are the plasma profiles? How do they change?
- How can we describe/predict plasma dynamics?

Particle Dynamics Characterized by Adiabatic Invariants: Gyration (μ), Bounce (J), and Drift (ψ)



Low-Frequency **E**×**B** Dynamics

Low-frequency fluctuations mean...

"interchange dynamics"

- Conservation of (µ, J): $\omega \ll \omega_b \ll \omega_c$
- No parallel electric field: $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$
- Fluctuating cross field motion is **E**×**B**
- Entire "flux tubes" of plasma interchange during turbulent mixing

$$\begin{split} N(\psi,\varphi) &= \int d\mu dJ \, F(\mu,J,\psi,\varphi) = \oint \frac{dl}{B} n = \langle n \rangle \delta V \\ &= \text{Number of particles with a "fluxtube" } (\delta \psi) \end{split}$$

Low-Frequency **E**×**B** Dynamics

(1D, $k_{\perp} \rho \ll 1$, Gyrokinetics!)

Radial Transport

$$\mathbf{V} = \delta \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} = -\hat{\varphi}R\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi} + \frac{\hat{\psi}}{RB}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\varphi}$$

"Interchange Dynamics"



Convection Electric Fields and the Diffusion of Trapped Magnetospheric Radiation Collisionless Random **Flectric Convection** THOMAS J. BIRMINGHAM $\frac{\partial \langle \bar{Q} \rangle \langle \alpha, M, J, t \rangle}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left[\overline{D_{\alpha \alpha}} \frac{\partial \langle \bar{Q} \rangle}{\partial \alpha} \right] \quad (5) \qquad \overline{D_{\alpha \alpha}} \approx \frac{c^2 \mu^2}{4 \alpha^2} (\pi)^{1/2} \tau_c \Omega$ (18) A reasonable direction to proceed, in view of α = magnetic flux, Ψ the paucity of direct experimental evidence of electric fields and their time variations, is to assume that the autocorrelation $(\delta A(t - \tau))$ dipole field. We describe \mathbf{E} by the potential V $\delta A(t)$ has the form $V = \frac{A(t)r}{\sin^2 q} \sin \phi$ (2)

A being a positive, time-dependent amplitude. The form equation 2 is the fundamental (m = 1)expansion of a general longitudinally dependent potential. Since $r \sin^{-2} \vartheta$ and ϕ are both constant on dipole field lines, **B** lines are equipotentials, and $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ is zero. In the $\vartheta = \pi/2$, equatorial plane

$$\langle \delta A(t-\tau) \ \delta A(t) \rangle = \alpha \exp - \frac{\tau^2}{\tau_o^2}$$
 (16)

asymmetric mode in Fälthammar's [1965] Fourier from dawn to dusk, and is random on the time scale on which the solar wind executes time variations of large spatial extent. (The correlation time τ_{\bullet} is thus typically one hour.)

Magnetosphere

Energy: mostly from the sun

Particles: mostly from the atmosphere



Structure of Magnetosphere Electric Field



Random Interchange Motion

$$\begin{split} \dot{\psi} &= \nabla \psi \cdot \mathbf{V} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} = -RE_{\varphi} \\ D &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{0}^{t} dt \langle \dot{\psi}(t) \dot{\psi}(0) \rangle \equiv \langle \dot{\psi}^{2} \rangle \tau_{c} \\ \text{Correlation Time} \\ \end{split}$$

Natural Profiles

- Plasma interchange dynamics is characterized by flux-tube averaged quantities:
 - ► Flux tube particle number, $N = \int ds n/B \approx n \, \delta V$
 - Entropy density, $G = P \delta V^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma \approx 5/3$

 $(n, P) \Leftrightarrow (N, G)$ are related by flux tube volume, $\delta V = \int ds/B$

- Random fluctuations cause radial diffusion or plasma "flux-tubes". Interchange mixing flattens $\partial [N \text{ and } G]/\partial \psi \rightarrow 0$ at the same rate.
- Natural profiles mean N and G are homogeneous.
- Natural profiles are "stationary" since fluctuating potentials and E×B flows do not change (N, G).

Natural Profiles in Solenoidal Geometry

Solenoid, theta-pinch, large aspect ratio torus, ...

- Flux tube volume:
 - $\delta V = \int ds/B = \text{constant}$
- Natural profiles:
 - $n \, \delta V = \text{constant}$
 - $P \,\delta V' = \text{constant}$
 - Density and pressure profiles are flat
- Density, pressure, and temperature at edge and at core are equal unless interchange mixing is suppressed.



Natural Profiles in Dipole Geometry

- Flux tube volume:
 - $\delta V = \int ds/B \approx R^4$
- Natural profiles:
 - $n \, \delta V = \text{constant}$
 - $P \,\delta V^{\gamma}$ = constant
 - Density and pressure profiles are strongly peaked!
- Density, pressure, and temperature at edge and at core are not equal.

Interchange mixing sustains peaked profiles.



"Natural" Profiles in LDX:

 $\frac{\delta V_{edge}}{\delta V_{core}} \approx 50$ $\frac{n_{core}}{n_{edge}} \approx 50$ $\frac{P_{core}}{P_{edge}} \approx 680$ $\frac{T_{core}}{T_{edge}} \approx 14$

Natural Profiles are also Marginally Stable Profiles

- N = constant, is the D. B. Melrose criterion (1967) for stability to centrifugal interchange mode in rotating magnetosphere.
- G = P δW = constant, is the T. Gold criterion (1959) for marginal stability of pressure-driven interchange mode in magnetosphere, and also Rosenbluth-Longmire (1957) and Bernstein, et al., (1958).

Electrostatic Self-Organization

Heat injection creates super-critical gradients creating global turbulent fluctuations that relax gradients while driving particles inward.

JETP Letters, Vol. 82, No. 6, 2005, pp. 356–365.

Self-Consistent Turbulent Convection in a Magnetized Plasma

V. P. Pastukhov* and N. V. Chudin

Russian Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, pl. Akademika Kurchatova 1, Moscow, 123182 Russia

Quasilinear theory of interchange modes in a closed field line configuration



Saturday, November 14, 2009

1.1

Two Examples: Plasma Dynamics Study

IMAGE

"Imager for Magnetopause-to-Aurora Global Exploration"

Launch: March 25, 2000 First satellite dedicated to global imaging of the Earth's magnetosphere

LDX "Levitated Dipole Experiment"

Launch: August 13, 2004 First laboratory experiment dedicated to testing the applicability of space plasma physics for fusion energy







Variable Solar Particle and Energy Flux

(SOHO: October 27-30, 2003)

Coronal Mass Ejections especially frequent at Solar Maximum

Solar and Heliospheric Observatory



IMAGE: Ring Current Charge Exchange Neutrals from Energetic Protons



Levitated Dipole Confinement Concept: Combining the Physics of Space & Laboratory Plasmas

- Akira Hasegawa, 1987
- Three key properties of active magnetospheres:
 - High beta, with ~ 200% in the magnetospheres of giant planets
 - Pressure and density profiles are strongly peaked
 - And solar-driven activity increases peakedness

J. Spencer

LDX Experiment



Previous Result using a Supported Dipole:

High-beta (β ~ 26%) plasma created by multiplefrequency ECRH with sufficient gas fueling

- Using 5 kW of long-pulse ECRH, plasma with trapped fast electrons (*E_h* > 50 keV) were sustained for many seconds.
- Magnetic equilibrium reconstruction and x-ray imaging showed high stored energy > 300 J (τ_E > 60 msec), high peak β ~26%, and anisotropic fast electron pressure, P_⊥/P_{||} ~ 5.
- Stability of the high-beta fast electrons was maintained with sufficient gas fueling (> 10⁻⁶ Torr) and plasma density.
- D. Garnier, *et al., PoP*, (2006)

RT-1 (University of Tokyo)





1/3-scale as LDX
High-beta (40%)
10 keV electrons
0.2 sec hot electron
confinement-time



Lifting, Launching, Levitation, Experiments, Catching



Levitated Dipole Plasma Experiments

Floating (Up to 3 Hours)

Levitated Dipole Plasma Experiments

Levitation: √Proven reliable and safe! √Over 50 hours of "float time" (>150,000 sec!) √Cyrostat performance:

3 hours between re-cooling!

New Result with Levitated Dipole: "Naturally" peaked density profiles occur during levitation

- Magnetic levitation eliminates parallel losses, and plasma profiles are determined by radial transport processes.
- Multi-cord interferometry reveals dramatic (up to 10-fold) central peaking of plasma density during levitation.
- Profile peaking occurs rapidly, allowing direct measurement of the inward particle pinch.
- Low-frequency fluctuations are observed with an intensity consistent with the observed inward pinch.
- The turbulent pinch is associated with increased plasma pressure consistent with constant entropy density, G = PδV^γ, and high thermal electron temperature, T_e > 300 eV.

Density Profile with/ without Levitation

- Procedure:
 - Adjust levitation coil to produce equivalent magnetic geometry
 - Investigate multiplefrequency ECRH heating
- Observe: Evolution of density profile with 4 channel interferometer
- Compare: Density profile evolution with supported and levitated dipole

Alex Boxer, MIT PhD, (2008)



Plasma Confined by a Supported Dipole

- 5 kW ECRH power
- D₂ pressure ~ 10⁻⁶ Torr
- Fast electron instability, ~ 0.5 s
- Ip ~ 1.3 kA or 150 J
- Cyclotron emission (V-band) shows fast-electrons
- Long, low-density "afterglow" with fast electrons
- → 1×10¹³ cm⁻² line density



Plasma Confined by a Levitated Dipole



Multi-Cord Interferometer Shows Strong Density Peaking During Levitation





Inversion of Chord Measurements



Inversion of Chord Measurements



Levitation Always Causes More Peaked Profiles Relative to Supported Discharges

- Comparison of density profiles for levitated and supported discharges always show more peaked profiles during levitation.
- Natural density profiles are created regardless of plasma pressure (*i.e.* both low and high beta).
- Natural density profiles are established rapidly, within ~20 msec.
- Natural density profiles are sustained steady-state by microwave heating.

Natural Density Profiles Established Rapidly

- Levitation vs. Supported comparisons provide an opportunity to directly observe the effects of turbulent transport, as the parallel losses are switched off/on.
- Short 1/2 second heating pulses minimize influence of hot electrons on plasma dynamics.
- Turbulent fluctuations are established quickly as the ECRH is switched on.
 Fluctuations diminish after ECRH is switched off.



Naturally Peaked Profiles Established Rapidly



Naturally Peaked Profiles Established Rapidly

Supported: Density (Particles/cc)



Neutrals Appear to Recycle at Outer Edge



Naturally Peaked Profiles Established Rapidly



(1)

 $D \approx 0.05$ Weber²/s across the profile and $S \approx 0$

Line Density from Supported and Levitated Plasma



Turbulent Radial Diffusion Implies an Inward Pinch

- Turbulent particle pinch links magnetic geometry and particle transport
- When flux-tube volume, $\delta V(\psi)$, varies rapidly with radius, then the turbulent pinch is large



Low-Frequency Fluctuations are Observed throughout Plasma and Probably Cause Naturally Peaked Profiles

- Low-frequency fluctuations (*f* ~ 1 kHz and < 20 kHz) are observed with edge probes, multiple photodiode arrays, µwave interferometry, and fast video cameras.
- The structure of these fluctuations are complex, turbulent, and still not well understood.
- Edge fluctuations can be intense (*E* ~ 200 V/m) and are dominated by long-wavelength modes that rotate with the plasma at 1-2 kHz
- High-speed digital records many seconds long enable analysis of turbulent spectra in a single shot. We find the edge fluctuations are characteristic of viscously-damped 2D interchange turbulence.

Plasma ExB Motion

$$\mathbf{V} = -\hat{\varphi}R\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi} + \frac{\hat{\psi}}{RB}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\varphi}$$

٠



Interchange Particle Diffusion

For "Random Motion"...

$$\begin{split} D &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t dt \langle \dot{\psi}(t) \dot{\psi}(0) \rangle \equiv \langle \dot{\psi}^2 \rangle \tau_c \\ & \\ Cross \ \text{Correlation Function} \\ D &= R^2 \langle E_{\varphi}^2 \rangle \tau_c \\ & \\ \text{Measured} \\ \text{at edge} \qquad \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = \langle S \rangle + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} D \frac{\partial N}{\partial \psi} \end{split}$$

Floating Potential Probe Array

- Edge floating potential oscillations
- 4 deg spacing @ 1 m radius
- 24 probes
- Very long data records for excellent statistics!!



Floating Potential Probe Array

Floating Potential ($\Phi > \pm 150 \text{ V}$)







Turbulent Particle Pinch is associated with Turbulent Entropy Pinch: Pressure Peaking

S

H

• Flux-tube density and entropy density have identical dynamics for a plasma with an adiabatic closure, $G = P\delta V^{\gamma}$

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \psi} \left(G \frac{\partial$$

- (N, G) ~ constant implies peaked density and pressure profiles
- Edge T_e ~ 15 eV, implies central T_e ~ 500 eV with measured diamagnetism and measured density profile
- Thermal stored energy of 60 J (this example levitated discharge, 2 µTorr D₂)



Another Example: Low-Power, Lower-Pressure

- 2.5 kW at 2.45 GHz
- Quasi-steady-state profiles, fluctuations, and parameters
- 0.6 mV · s (60 J) thermal energy
- Turbulent diffusion and turbulent pinch consistent with edge electric field fluctuations and "recycling" inner SOL.



Turbulent Diffusion



 $D \approx 0.09 \,\text{Weber}^2/\text{s}$

SI(PIN) X-Ray Spectrum (Amptek XR-100Cr)



Air

Vacuum/Plasma

The detector viewed the plasma through a 2 mil Be port window, 2 cm of air and a 0.5 mil Be window built on the detector. The view was in the equatorial plane with a tangent radius between 80 and 90 cm.





Transport Work in Progress...

- Improve diagnostics of density evolution, transients, and particle source profile
- Understand transport boundaries: inner and outer edges
- Improve internal fluctuation structure measurements and better model transport/correlations due to fluctuation spectrum, driftresonance effects.
- Measure and understand entropy dynamics and evolution. (12-Channel Thomson scattering soon.)
- Study and understand transport rate changes as a function of plasma, fueling, power, and spectral variations.

28 GHz 10 kW CW Gyrotron (University of Maryland)

28 GHz ECRH system is being rapidly implemented on LDX Will be available for next plasma campaign this year



Views of recent (last week) 28 GHz ECRH system installation activity at LDX

TSW2500 1 MW CW RF Transmitter

(General Atomics)



Higher-density plasmas will (i) improve our ability to diagnose parameters and measure profiles, (ii) better differentiate between edge and core dynamics, and (iii) allow study of the density profiles that evolve from a fully-recycling inner edge at the levitated dipole. Most importantly, the RF Power System will determine whether or not the favorable stability and confinement results achieved so far at low-density can be scaled.



 Levitation eliminates parallel particle losses and allows a dramatic peaking of central density.

LDX has demonstrated the formation of natural density profiles in a laboratory dipole plasma and the applicability of space physics to fusion science.

- Random fluctuations of density, light emission, potential, and electric field provide evidence of random E×B motion that causes interchange mixing and an turbulent inward pinch.
- Intensity of E_{ϕ} fluctuations measured at edge can account for inward diffusion.
- Increased stored energy consistent with adiabatic entropy density profile: a necessary physics requirement for dipole fusion.

LDX Experimental Team

