• Ch. 6.5 Magnetohydrodynamic Waves

• Bounded plasma waves: shear Alfvén wave resonance
6.5 Magnetohydrodynamic Waves

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_{m1}}{\partial t} + \rho_{m0} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}_1 = 0, \quad (6.5.1)
\]

\[
\rho_{m0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_1}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_1) \times \mathbf{B}_0 - \nabla P_1, \quad (6.5.2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_1}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{U}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_0), \quad (6.5.3)
\]

\[
P_1 = \gamma \left( \frac{P_0}{\rho_{m0}} \right) \rho_{m1}. \quad (6.5.4)
\]

\[
V_S^2 = \gamma \frac{P_0}{\rho_{m0}} = \frac{\gamma \kappa T_0}{m}, \quad (6.5.5)
\]
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\[-i \omega \tilde{\rho}_m + i \rho_{m0} k \cdot \tilde{U} = 0, \quad (6.5.6)\]

\[-i \omega \rho_{m0} \tilde{U} = \frac{i}{\mu_0} (k \times \tilde{B}) \times B_0 - i k \tilde{P}, \quad (6.5.7)\]

\[-i \omega \tilde{B} = i k \times (\tilde{U} \times B_0), \quad (6.5.8)\]

\[\tilde{P} = V_S^2 \tilde{\rho}_m. \quad (6.5.9)\]
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\[
\omega^2 \tilde{U} = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho_{m0}} \{ \mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{k} \times [\tilde{U} \times \mathbf{B}_0]) \} \times \mathbf{B}_0 + V_S^2 \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{U}). \tag{6.5.12}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\omega}{k} \right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_x \\ \tilde{U}_y \\ \tilde{U}_z \end{bmatrix} = V_A^2 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_x \\ \tilde{U}_y \cos^2 \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + V_S^2 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_x \sin^2 \theta + \tilde{U}_z \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ 0 \\ \tilde{U}_x \sin \theta \cos \theta + \tilde{U}_z \cos^2 \theta \end{bmatrix}.
\]

\[
V_A = B_0 / \sqrt{\mu_0 \rho_{m0}}
\]

\[
\cos \theta = k_\parallel / k
\]

\[
\sin \theta = k_\perp / k
\]
### 6.5 Magnetohydrodynamic Waves

The linearized equations of motion then become

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\nu_p^2 - V_S^2 \sin^2 \theta - V_A^2 & 0 & -V_S^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \\
0 & \nu_p^2 - V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta & 0 \\
-V_S^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta & 0 & \nu_p^2 - V_S^2 \cos^2 \theta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\widetilde{U}_x \\
\widetilde{U}_y \\
\widetilde{U}_z
\end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (6.5.15)
\]

\[
\nu_p = \omega / k
\]

\[\cos \theta = k_{||} / k \]

\[\sin \theta = k_{\perp} / k\]
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This equation has non-trivial solutions for $\tilde{U}$ if and only if the determinant of the matrix is zero, which gives the dispersion relation

$$D(k, \omega) = \left( v_p^2 - V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta \right) \left[ v_p^4 - v_p^2 \left( V_A^2 + V_S^2 \right) + V_A^2 V_S^2 \cos^2 \theta \right] = 0. \quad (6.5.16)$$

It can be shown that the dispersion relation has three roots:

$$v_p^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( V_A^2 + V_S^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( V_A^2 - V_S^2 \right)^2 + 4 V_A^2 V_S^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2}, \quad (6.5.17)$$

$$v_p^2 = V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \text{Shear, or “transverse” Alfvén wave} \quad (6.5.18)$$

$$v_p^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( V_A^2 + V_S^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( V_A^2 - V_S^2 \right)^2 + 4 V_A^2 V_S^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2}. \quad (6.5.19)$$
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Figure 6.7 Plots of the phase velocities for the three MHD modes as a function of the wave normal angle for two cases, $V_A > V_S$ and $V_A < V_S$.

$$v_p = \omega/k$$

$$\beta \propto \frac{V_s^2}{V_A^2}$$
10.3 Electromagnetic Waves

\[ \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \]

\[ \sigma = i \sum_s \frac{e_s^2 n_s}{m_s \omega_{cs}} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2\pi n \, dv \, dv_{||}}{\alpha + n} \times \begin{vmatrix} A_s \frac{n^2 v_{||}}{\beta_s^2} J_n^2 & iA_s \frac{nu_{||}}{\beta_s} J_n J'_n & B_s \frac{nu_{||}}{\beta_s} J_n^2 \\ -iA_s \frac{nu_{||}}{\beta_s} J_n J'_n & A_s v_{||} J_n' J'_n & -iB_s v_{||} J_n J'_n \\ A_s \frac{nu_{||}}{\beta_s} J_n^2 & iA_s v_{||} J_n J'_n & B_s v_{||} J_n^2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (10.3.18) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} K_{xx} - \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega^2} \cos^2 \theta & K_{xy} & K_{xz} + \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ K_{yx} & K_{yy} - \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega^2} & K_{yz} \\ K_{zx} + \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta & K_{zy} & K_{zz} - \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega^2} \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E}_x \\ \tilde{E}_y \\ \tilde{E}_z \end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (10.3.28) \]
On the kinetic dispersion relation for shear Alfvén waves
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\[
\left( \frac{\omega}{k_{||}v_A} \right)^2 \approx 1 + k_{\perp}^2 \left( \rho_x^2 + \frac{3}{4} \rho_i^2 \right)
\]

is predominantly parallel. Then the dispersion relation for the kinetic Alfvén wave is given by the determinant of the 2x2 matrix

\[
\det \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{c^2}{v_A^2} \left( 1 - \Gamma_0(\mu_i) \right) - n_i^2 & n_i n_{\perp} \\
\frac{\Gamma_0(\mu_e)}{k_{\perp}^2 \lambda_{De}} (1 + \xi Z(\xi)) - n_{\perp}^2 & n_i n_{\perp}
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

(5)

In this dispersion relation, it has been assumed that \( c^2 / v_A^2 \approx 1 \) and that \( k_{\perp} \lambda_{De} \ll 1 \), so that the unit terms in the diagonal elements can be dropped. Here \( n_i = k_i c / \omega \), \( n_{\perp} = k_{\perp} c / \omega \), \( \mu_i = k_{\perp}^2 \rho_i^2 \), \( \mu_e = k_{\perp}^2 \rho_e^2 \), \( \xi = \omega / k_i a_e \), \( a_e = (2T_e / m_e)^{1/2} \), \( \Gamma_0 \) is the modified Bessel function \( \Gamma_0(\mu) = e^{-\mu} I_0(\mu) \), and \( Z \) is the usual plasma dispersion function [Fried and Conte, 1961]. Definitions of other symbols in
Alfvén Waves in Tokamaks

• Antenna excitation of kinetic Alfvén wave (KAW) and the Alfvén wave resonance

  • “The Alfvén wave spectrum as measured on a tokamak,” Collins, et al., *Physics of Fluids* 29, 2260 (1986); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.865563


• Energetic particle excitation of toroidal Alfvén eigenmodes

FIG. 1. Design of the TCA antennas: (a) vertical section, (b) horizontal section, and (c) perspective.

FIG. 3. Optical arrangement on the TCA tokamak. PL: optical table; P: parabolic mirror ($f = 190.5$ cm); M: flat mirror; MP: phase mirror; MR: relay mirror; F: NaCl vacuum window; CV: vacuum chamber; BT: toroidal field coil; GA: major axis; $\Sigma$: object plane at plasma midplane; $\Sigma'$: image plane (imaging optics simplified).
Measurement of KAW Excitation

Fig. 9. Example of evolution of the synchronous density oscillation amplitude profile in an Alfvén wave heating discharge.


Fig. 10. Profile of amplitude and phase of synchronous density oscillations due to the kinetic Alfvén wave [(2, 0) continuum].
Observations of Toroidal Coupling for Low-\(n\) Alfvén Modes in the TCA Tokamak

Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, Association Euratom–Confédération Suisse, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1007 Lausanne, Switzerland
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The antenna structure in the TCA tokamak is phased to excite preferentially Alfvén waves with known toroidal and poloidal wave numbers. Surprisingly, the loading spectrum includes both discrete and continuum modes with poloidal wave numbers incompatible with the antenna phasing. These additional modes, which are important for our heating experiments, can be attributed to linear mode coupling induced by the toroidicity of the plasma column, when we take into account ion-cyclotron effects.

The antenna is designed to excite a compressional or fast magnetosonic wave which converts to the kinetic Alfvén wave at a radius \(r_0\) defined by the Alfvén resonance condition, given in the large-aspect-ratio approximation by

\[
\frac{n + m/q(r_0)}{R} = k_A(r_0) = \frac{\omega(1 - \omega^2/\omega_{ci}^2)^{-1/2}}{V_A(r_0)}, \quad (1)
\]
FIG. 1. Loading per antenna group as a function of line-averaged electron density ($N=2$, $M=1$, deuterium plasma). (a) Cylindrical calculation; (b) measurements in standard conditions; (c) measurements at lower toroidal field and with side shields.

FIG. 2. Loading near the (2,0) threshold measured for different antenna phasings (hydrogen plasma).
Mode Conversion to the Kinetic Alfvén Wave in Low-Frequency Heating Experiments in the TCA Tokamak
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function of the position of the amplitude maximum. The solid lines were obtained from the approximate dispersion relation for the KAW expressed as

\[ k_r^2 \rho_i^2 \left( \frac{3}{4} + Te/T_i \right) = (\omega^2 - k_||^2 V_A^2)/k_||^2 V_A^2, \]

where \(\rho_i\) is the ion Larmor radius. Although this relation is only valid for a homogeneous plasma, the \(k_r\) obtained by replacing \(V_A^2\) by a linearly position-dependent \(V_A^2\) about the resonance layer agrees well with the wavelength predicted by Hasegawa and Chen’s original calculation\(^3\) for a linear density profile. In this simple com-

FIG. 1. (a) Resonance position as a function of frequency and central density for modeled mass density and current profiles. (b) Mean radial wave number as a function of position (averaged over one cycle of propagation). The solid lines are obtained from the KAW dispersion relation.
FIG. 2. Examples of observed profiles of line density fluctuations in the \((-1, -1)\) and \((2,0)\) continua.

\[ k_i^2 \rho_i^2 \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{T_e}{T_i} \right) = \frac{(\omega^2 - k_\parallel^2 V_A^2)}{k_\parallel^2 V_A^2}, \quad (2) \]

FIG. 3. Examples of calculated density fluctuations in the \((-1, -1)\) and \((2,0)\) continua. The resonance layer positions were chosen to match those of Fig. 2. The broken lines of (c) and (d) for the \((2,0)\) KAW represent the remaining wave field after subtraction of the fast-wave mode.
Measurements of the Tokamak-Safety-Factor Profile by Means of Driven Resonant Alfvén Waves
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We report on the first measurements of the tokamak-safety-factor profile by means of Alfvén waves. The waves were launched by use of the TCA tokamak Alfvén-wave heating antennae. The associated density oscillation profiles provided the Alfvén-wave resonance layer positions which depend on the local value of the safety factor, $q$. Our results show a time-averaged $q$ profile with a flat central region with $q$ close to unity.

FIG. 4. Resonance layer positions for the (1,1) and (2,0) modes as a function of the central line density.

FIG. 5. Safety-factor profiles for (a) $B_s=1.51$ T, $I_p=125$ kA, (b) $B_s=1.51$ T, $I_p=70$ kA, (c) $B_s=1.51$ T, $I_p=50$ kA, (d) $B_s=1.16$ T, $I_p=135$ kA.
Space Charge Waves in Cylindrical Plasma Columns
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Fig. 10. Schematic of apparatus to measure phase and attenuation characteristics of space charge waves in a plasma.

Table I. Pertinent dimensions of experimental apparatus used in space charge wave propagation experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of plasma</td>
<td>0.325 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of tube containing plasma</td>
<td>0.410 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of slotted wave guide</td>
<td>0.410 and 0.750 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of plasma column</td>
<td>25 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal frequency range</td>
<td>10 to 4000 mc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclotron frequency range</td>
<td>0 to 3000 mc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma frequency range</td>
<td>500 to 5000 mc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of mercury in tube</td>
<td>300±0.1°K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty wave guide cutoff frequency (approx)</td>
<td>25 000 mc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure of mercury at 300°K (approx)</td>
<td>2 microns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean free path of plasma electrons (approx)</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13. Measured phase characteristics of plasma space charge waves for no magnetic field for a=0.52 cm, b=0.62 cm, \( K=4.6 \).
**TG Modes: Low Frequency Surface Waves**

Inside Plasma ($r < a$):

\[
E = -\nabla \Phi \quad \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_0 k E) = 0 \quad k = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \nabla^2 \Phi = 0
\]

Outside Plasma ($r > a$):

\[
E = -\nabla \Phi \quad \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_0 E) = 0
\]
\[
\nabla^2 \Phi = 0
\]

Boundary Condition ($r = a$):

\[
\mathbf{N} \cdot (\varepsilon_0 k \mathbf{E}_n - \varepsilon_\infty \mathbf{E}_\infty) = 0
\]
\[
\Phi_n(r, \theta) = \phi_\text{out}(r, \theta)
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \Phi = 0 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} \right) - \hbar^2 \Phi = 0
\]

\[
\Phi(r, \theta, t) \propto e^{-j(\omega t - k_z z)}
\]

\[
\Phi(n) \sim I_0(k_n) \quad \text{inside} \quad n = a
\]

\[
K_0(k_n) \quad \text{outside}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}) I_0' C_1 &= K_0' C_2 \\
I_0 C_1 &= K_0 C_2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} = \frac{I_0(k_a) K_0'(k_a)}{I_0'(k_a) K_0(k_a)}
\]
Particle Acceleration by MHD Surface Wave and Formation of Aurora

AKIRA HASEGAWA

Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Hydromagnetic surface waves, excited either by a MHD plasma instability or by an externally applied impulse, are shown to resonantly mode convert to the kinetic Alfvén wave, the Alfvén wave having a wavelength comparable to the ion gyroradius in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field. The kinetic Alfvén wave has a component of its electric field in the direction of the ambient magnetic field and can accelerate plasma particles along the field line. Because of the property of the wave the acceleration occurs on a thin magnetic surface separated by the ion gyroradius. A possible relation between this type of acceleration and the formation of aurora arcs is discussed.

Fig. 1. Profile of the surface wave (left) and the amplitude variation of the surface and the kinetic Alfvén wave (right).

Fig. 2. The possible profile of the plasma sheet during the betatron acceleration and the bounce resonance acceleration of electrons by the kinetic Alfvén wave. The plasma sheet particles do not fill out flux tubes because the pitch angle is increased owing to the betatron acceleration.
Let us now consider a situation in which the plasma density is smoothly varying in $x$. In this case, $\epsilon = 1 - [\omega_p^2(x)/\omega^2]$ becomes a function of $x$, and hence from (2) we see that the bulk oscillation (compressible) no longer decouples from the surface wave (incompressible). Equation (2) becomes

\[
\left[ 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2(x)}{\omega^2} \right]^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2(x)}{\omega^2} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} - k^2 \varphi = 0
\]  

(7)

We note here that for $\omega$ corresponding to the surface wave eigenfrequency $\omega_s = \omega_p/(2)^{1/2}$ there always exists a point $x = x_0$, at which $\omega_p^2(x_0) = \omega_s^2$, because $\omega_p^2$ is proportional to the plasma density. Near $x = x_0$ the first term in (7) dominates, and the approximate solution for $\varphi$ is given by

\[
\varphi = \ln (x - x_0) \quad x > x_0
\]

(8)

\[
\varphi = \ln |x - x_0| \pm i\pi \quad x < x_0
\]

where a linear profile in density $n_0$ is assumed near $x = x_0$. The
Basic physics of Alfvén instabilities driven by energetic particles in toroidally confined plasmas

W. W. Heidbrink

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California,

II. ALFVÉN GAP MODES

Shear Alfvén waves are transverse low frequency electromagnetic waves that propagate along the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$. When the wave frequency $\omega$ is small compared to the ion cyclotron frequency $\Omega_i$ and when kinetic effects are unimportant, the dispersion relation in a uniform field is simply

$$\omega = k_\parallel v_A,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$k_\parallel = \left(n - mq\right)/R,$$

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Dispersion relation for an $m=4$, $n=4$ wave in a cylindrical plasma. The phase velocity is a strong function of radial position. (b) A hypothetical disturbance launched in the highlighted region. The pulse will rapidly disperse and shear.
FIG. 2. (Color online) Comparison of an optical fiber with a transmission gap for visible light and a plasma with a transmission gap for shear Alfvén waves. The fiber has a periodically modulated index of refraction in its core. The plasma has a variable magnetic field that results in periodic modulation of the index of refraction \( N \). The spatial period of the modulations is \( \Delta z \). Both systems have a propagation gap at the Bragg frequency and the width of the propagation gap \( \Delta f \) is proportional to the amplitude of modulation of \( N \).
Types of Alfvén Resonances

FIG. 5. (Color online) Frequency (left) and mode structure (right) for three different types of Alfvén waves. The waves are excited by an antenna at the edge of the plasma. (a) A continuum wave has a very narrow mode structure that is strongly damped. (b) If the plasma profiles create an extremum in the Alfvén continuum, the antenna may excite a gap mode that is located near the extremum; this wave has (predominately) a single poloidal harmonic. (c) The antenna can also excite gap modes near the extrema created by mode coupling; in this case, the poloidal harmonics of the coupled waves appear. Adapted from Ref. 13.
Energetic Particle Orbits

FIG. 9. (Color online) Projection of the orbit of an 80-keV deuterium beam ion in the DIII-D tokamak. (a) Elevation. The dashed lines represent the magnetic flux surfaces. The particle orbits poloidally with a frequency $\omega_\theta$. (b) Detail of the beginning of the orbit. The rapid gyromotion, parallel drift along the flux surface, and vertical drift velocity are indicated. (c) Plan view of the orbit. The particle precesses toroidally with a frequency $\omega_\zeta$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>Mirror</td>
<td>Usually refers to an eigenmode below a minimum in the Alfvén continuum;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAE-Particle Resonance

\[
\omega + p \omega_\theta - n \omega_\zeta \approx 0
\]

\[
\gamma \propto \omega \frac{\partial f}{\partial W} + n \frac{\partial f}{\partial P_\zeta}.
\]

FIG. 12. (Color online) Illustration of the dependence of EP drive (or damping) on the slope of the distribution function. (a) Classic Landau damping situation: for a monotonically decreasing distribution function there are more particles that gain energy from the wave than lose energy, so the wave damps. (b) The energy distribution typically is monotonically decreasing, so the wave damps. (c) The distribution function is usually peaked on axis. The toroidal angular momentum \(P_\zeta\) has the opposite dependence on radius than the flux function, so a peaked distribution function has a positive gradient \(\partial f / \partial P_\zeta\) and gives net energy to the wave.
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