Plasma 2 Lecture 20: Interchange, Ballooning, and Kinks APPH E6102y **Columbia University**

· ALFUEN WHUES (REVIEW) (From Lecture-9) · MAGNERIC INDUCTIONS (FARADAÉS LAW) · WHEN E, = . THE IDEAL MUD CONDITION DRIFT WAVES È_=-ih, P hg~1 éh, 4 >> 0 MHO WAVES E, = 0 hu > or ljo2/cl

Low-Frequency (Electro-)Magnetic Response in a Strongly Magnetized Plasma





(wood)) MUHEN Anso Anolon Age 2015

Parallel Electric Field



Density-Potential Relationship POTENTAL $continuitti = \frac{h_{v}v}{\pi} \left(\frac{e\bar{t}}{F}\right) - \frac{h_{v}v}{F} \left(\frac{e\bar{t}}{F}\right) - \frac{h_{v}v}{F} \left(\frac{e\bar{t}}{F}\right)$ ELIMINATING A. ... $\widetilde{m} = \left(\underbrace{e \widetilde{\Phi}}_{T} \right) \left[1 - \frac{\left(u - k_{g} U \right)^{2}}{u \left(u - k_{g} U \right)^{2} - k_{g}^{2} Q^{2} k_{g}^{2} U \right]} \right]$ $\widetilde{m} = \left(\underbrace{\mp}_{T} \right) \left[1 - \frac{u \left(u - k_{g} U \right)^{2}}{u \left(u - k_{g} U \right)^{2} - k_{g}^{2} Q^{2} k_{g}^{2} U \right]} \right]$ DRIFTLIMIT WHEN. PARA Matter is ignored,









Low-Frequency MHD Modes



· KINK MODES E, = O BUT ELECMOMANNETIC A, = O CURRENT - 9RADIENT DRIVEN

· BALLOONING MODES RIFO (PRESSURE ORIVEN

(LARGER RETIONAL SURFACE)



F-Layer Spread

- Gravitational Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities are wellstudied examples of turbulent interchange dynamics.
- Notes: (i) Large scales, (ii) Rayleigh-Taylor regime & Drift-wave regime
- Refs:
 - Kelly, The Earth's Ionosphere, (Academic, 1989).
 - Kelly, Franz, Prasad, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 1432 (2002).

Jicamarca Vertical Backscatter at 3 meters March 21, 1979 1000 900 800 kilometei 700 600-500. Altitude, 400 300-200 20:00 22:00 21:00 23:00 Local Time

Fig. 4.1. Range-time-intensity map displaying the backscatter power at 3-m wavelengths measured at Jicamarca, Peru. The gray scale is decibels above the thermal noise level. [After Kelley et 1. (1981). Reproduced with permission of the American Geophysical Union.]



Figure 2. Electron density and electric field spectra measured during the downleg of 29.028 on 30 July 1990. The altitude range covers ~ 20 km near 350 km altitude.

VOLUME 69, NUMBER 16

Observation of Ballooning Modes in High-Temperature Tokamak Plasmas

Y. Nagayama,^(a) S. A. Sabbagh,^{(b),(c)} J. Manickam, E. D. Fredrickson, M. Bell, R. V. Budny, A. Cavallo,^(d) A. C. Janos, M. E. Mauel,^(b) K. M. McGuire, G. A. Navratil,^(b) G. Taylor, and M. Yamada Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, New Jersey 08543 (Received 8 June 1992)

The beta-degradation phase of a high- β_p plasma in the TFTR tokamak is analyzed with x-ray and electron cyclotron emission imaging techniques. Medium-n (toroidal mode number) instabilities with ballooning characteristics are observed near and within the q = 1.5 surface during a slow degradation in the plasma β and precede a sudden partial collapse in the central plasma pressure. This is the first reported observation of a ballooning instability in the interior of a large, collisionless tokamak plasma.

Tokamak **Ballooning Modes**





Large-Larmor-Radius Interchange Instability

B. H. Ripin, E. A. McLean, C. K. Manka, C. Pawley,^(a) J. A. Stamper, T. A. Peyser,^(a) A. N. Mostovych, J. Grun, A. B. Hassam, ^(a) and J. Huba Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20375

(Received 15 June 1987)

We observe linear and nonlinear features of a strong plasma-magnetic-field interchange Rayleigh-Taylor instability in the limit of large ion Larmor radius. The instability undergoes rapid linear growth culminating in free-streaming flute tips.



FIG. 1. Experimental arrangement for instability experiments. A schematic of the equipment is shown; ion detectors are denoted by rectangles and magnetic probes by circles.

16 NOVEMBER 1987



FIG. 3. Examples of the instability development. (a) 0.1-T case observed at time 115 ns. (b) Example of density clumps in the early time phase development with B = 1.0 T at time 59 ns. (c) Example of curved spike structure with 1.0-T field (field points out of paper) at 115 ns. (d) Same as (c) except field points into paper and t = 100 ns; note reversal of curvature sense. $E_1 = 25 - 30$ J and P < 0.1 mTorr for these shots.









FIG. 6. EQUATORIAL DRIFT PATH OF A 20 % PLASMA DENSITY ENHANCEMENT (SOLID LINE) AND OF A PLASMA HOLE (DASHED LINE) RELEASED AT $R = 7 R_E$. Otherwise the conditions and notations are THE SAME AS IN FIG. 5.

Note that the plasma density enhancement now drifts outwards. Under the dominating action of the centrifugal force whose radial component exceeds the gravitational force everywhere in the shaded area beyond the Zero-Radial-Force Surface. The plasma hole spirals in the opposite direction toward the same asymptotic trajectory as the plasma hole in Fig. 5. This asymptotic trajectory of all plasma holes determines the position of the equatorial plasmapause.

> **Fig. 1** Structures observed by the EUV instrument onboard IMAGE and new morphological nomenclature: examples of shoulders, plumes, fingers, channels, crenulations and notches. The direction to the Sun is shown as a *yellow dot* for each image. (From http://image.gsfc.nasa.gov/poetry/discoveries/N47big.jpg)

Plasmapause





o Plasma Torus



Figure 22.1. Sketch of the Io plasma torus and the general geometrical setup, after Audouze et al. 1988.

Hill and Vasyliünas, "Jovian auroral signature of Io's corotational wake," JGR, 107, 1464 (2002).

Magnetospheric Dynamo: **100 TW Auroral Power Regulates Interchange Motion**

Hubble (Dec 9, 2000)





Figure 1. Plasma signatures in MAG (top panels), EPD (middle), and PWS (lower) during the 17.34 UT event.

Table 1. Energetic Particle Properties near L=6.03

| Channel | Species | Energy (MeV) | ρ _L (km) | υ _{gc} (km/s) | $\tau_{\rm B}$ (s) |
|---------|----------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| E0 | electron | 0.015-0.029 | 0.2 | 0.06 | 17 |
| E2 | electron | 0.042-0.055 | 0.4 | 0.17 | 10 |
| FL | electron | 0.174-0.304 | 1.0 | 0.73 | 5 |
| TP1 | proton | 0.8-0.22 | 23.3 | 0.34 | 313 |
| TP3 | proton | 0.54-1.25 | 60.4 | 2.3 | 120 |
| B0 | proton | 3.2-10.1 | 147 | 13.4 | 50 |
| TO4 | oxygen | 1.8-9.0 | 440 | 7.5 | 260 |

plasma! Such a large density differential would make the flux tube extremely buoyant leading to rapid inward transport.

Changes in the count rate of energetic ions and electrons during the event are illustrated in the center panels of Figure 1. These selected particle channels span a broad energy range from 15 keV to 10 MeV. The energy for each channel, estimates of the typical bounce time, Larmor radius, and gradient drift speed associated with the Jovian magnetic field at 6.03 R_1 are listed in Table 1. All particles exhibit a characteristic loss cone distribution with modest depletion along the direction of the ambient magnetic field both before and after the event. During the event, most channels show a pronounced flux enhancement. This increase is most dramatic for the highest energy ions in a direction close to perpendicular to the field. Low energy ions and electrons also exhibit significant flux enhancements and residual effects persist for a brief period following the period of magnetic field enhancement. Notably, higher energy electrons (E > 300 keV)show little change.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the pitch angle distribution of energetic ions during the event. Because the event observed by the magnetometer occurred entirely within one revolution of the orbiter, one must carefully separate temporal and angular variations. For the spin which began at 17:33:58 (middle column), only the second passage of the EPD sensors through 90 degrees of pitch angle (near 17:34:08) occurred during the 10 second interval that the magnetometer measured the



Figure 2. Ion pitch angle distributions over three successive spacecraft revolutions spanning the 17:34 UT event. The start times for each spin are 17:33:39, 17:33:58 and 17:34:17 SCET respectively. Shown from the top row to the bottom: 1.68 to 3.28 MeV ions ($Z \ge 1$); 3.2 to 10.1 MeV ions ($Z \ge 1$); 0.112 to 0.562 MeV/nuc O⁺ ions.

lo Plasma Torus



Figure 5. Schematic of the transport envisaged to account for the observations. Dashed arcs are placed at the orbit of Io, and 7 R_j. Meandering curves are not instantaneous streamlines but some average flow paths that would organize our data. Flux tubes moving out (solid curves) have larger plasma content than do those moving in (dashed curves). The azimuthal meanders indicate that random variations in convection fields may produce fluctuations of average azimuthal velocity. The important point is that well away from Io, the inward and outward flows balance. Along Galileo's orbit in the immediate vicinity of Io (filled circle) where mass loading is concentrated, the flow is predominantly outward. Somewhere else the inward flow dominates. The Galileo trajectory inbound to Jupiter (solid curve), crosses inward and outward moving flux tubes near 7 R_j but principally outward moving flux tubes in the near-Io region.

Kink Instabilities: The Most Dangerous Instability for Current-Carrying Plasma



The Perhapsatron, which was built in 1952-53, was the first Z-pinch device at Los Alamos. The toroidal discharge tube surrounds the central core of an iron transformer.



Toroidal "z-pinch"



Interchange Mode Gravity (& Effective Gravity)



$$V_{p_{0l}} = \frac{eM_{i}}{B_{s}^{2}} \frac{d\tilde{e}}{dt} = -\frac{eM_{i}}{B_{s}^{2}} \left(\frac{d\tilde{e}}{dt}\right)$$

$$V_{q_{l}} - V_{q_{l}} = \hat{\gamma} \frac{M_{i}}{eB_{s}} \left(g + \frac{M_{e}}{M_{i}}g\right)$$

$$\frac{Chargo}{V_{1}} = 0$$

CONTINUITY $\frac{2\pi}{2c} + \nabla \cdot (\pi \nabla) = 0$ ELECTRONS $\frac{2\pi}{4} + \overline{V_{E}} \cdot \overline{V_{m_{0}}} = 0$ $-j \omega \pi - n_{0} j h \overline{\gamma} \overline{\rho} (m'_{m}) = 0$ 133 $-(\omega-h_{\tau}\nabla_{\pi})h^{2}\tilde{\Phi}+h_{\tau}\nabla_{i}\frac{D^{2}}{m}\tilde{\pi}$ = 0 WHY? Te (";

 $\nabla_{1}(e m \overline{V}_{p_{sc}}) + e(v_{gi} - v_{ge}) \neq \nabla \widetilde{m} = 0$ $\frac{jM_iV_i}{B^2} \cdot (\omega - h_i v_{g_i}) \nabla_{\perp} \widetilde{\Phi} + j \cdot \frac{1}{P_i} v_{g_i} \frac{\widetilde{m}}{m_o} = 0$

Dispersion Relation: Gravitational Interchange in Slab Geometry

 $\frac{2}{2\epsilon} + v_{g_i} \nabla \nabla \vec{\phi}$





Gravitational Interchange in Slab Geometry





(Check Signs)



Estimate: Curvature-Driven Interchange ("Cylindrical" Geometry)

GRAVITY

THIS ACTUALLY DEcomes

hy VORIFT -> hy VOIA a l Rc × Ia

ION FLR :





EXAMPLE



Ballooning Modes WHEN R, =O (LIKE ATORNAK) $\nabla_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{L}}$ $\nabla_{J} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{Pol} + \nabla_{I} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{II} + \nabla_{J} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{CUNVATURD} = 0$ FIELD BENDING $-j \frac{m_i m_o}{B^2} \omega h_i \widehat{\Phi} \left(1 - \frac{h_u^2 v_A^2}{\omega^2} \right) \cdots$ Plasma Dielectric 17

Ballooning Stability



- DUT WITH FINITA

EXAMP TOLANAK: h, ~ I ~ CONNECTION LENgth From OUTSIDE TO INSIDE



Kink Modes stabilities for current-carrying plasma.)



Next: Reduced MHD

- Cylindrical Reduced MHD
- Ideal instabilities
- Tearing instabilities
- RWMs and FWMs

- M. Rosenbluth, D. Monticello, H. Strauss, and R. White, Phys Fluids 19, 1987 (1976).
- H. Strauss, D. Monticello, M. Rosenbluth, and R. White, Phys Fluids 20, 390 (1977).
- R. Izzo, et al., Phys Fluids 26, 3066 (1983).
- G.T.A. Huysmans, J.P. Goedbloed, and W. KERNER, "Free boundary resistive modes in tokamaks" Phys. Fluids B 5, 1545 (1993).

Cylindrical Reduced MHD

the order of $\epsilon^2 B_0$. To lowest order in ϵ this unknown variation of the toroidal field can be eliminated from the problem by taking the curl of the momentum equation. The resulting equations are the standard low- β tokamak reduced equations that describe free-boundary kink modes³:

 $R_0^2 \frac{d\nabla^2 u}{d\nabla^2 u}$ $A_{\phi} = I_0(r/2)$ $A_{\parallel} \approx A_z = \psi_0(r) + \tilde{\psi}(r,\phi)$ $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = R_0^2 \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mu,$ important $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \zeta + I_0 \nabla \zeta,$ $\mathbf{V} = R_0^2 \nabla u \times \nabla \zeta,$ $\nabla^2_{\perp} =$ ∂R^2 ∂z^2 "In mem [https://d

Here $I_0 = B_0 R_0$ and $\nabla \zeta = \hat{\zeta} / R_0$.

Tokamak Plasma:

A Complex Physical System

B B Kadomtsev

I V Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, Moscow, Russia

Translation Editor: Professor E W Laing

| 5] | Plasma Stability | | |
|---------------|---------------------------|--|----|
| ļ | 5.1 | Kink Instability | 65 |
| ļ | 5.2 | Tearing Instability | 73 |
| ļ | 5.3 | Flute Instability | 77 |
| Į | 5.4 | The Ballooning Instability | 81 |
| ļ | 5.5 | Internal Kink Mode | 85 |
| Į | 5.6 | Drift Instabilities | 85 |
| nory doi.c | of B org/ ⁻ | Soris Kadomtsev," by E P Velikhov et al 1998, <i>PhysUsp</i> . 10.1070/PU1998v041n11ABEH000508] | 41 |
| | _ | Institute of Physics Publishing | |
| 2 | 1 | Bristol and Philadelphia | |

553 55 **1** 1155;