## Plasma 2 Lecture 18: **Collisionless Drift Waves** APPH E6102y

Columbia University

Review (1): Adiabatic Electrons ELECTION (FAST) PARALLEL MOTION  $e: \frac{2\tilde{v}_{\parallel}}{2t} + (\overline{v}_{e}, \nabla)\tilde{v}_{\parallel} = \frac{e}{m_{o}} \frac{2\tilde{\phi}}{2t} - \frac{1}{m_{o}} \frac{2\tilde{\rho}_{e}}{2t}$ BUT Me IS VERY VERY SMALL BUT ME IS VERY VERY SMALL QUECTRONS AND VERY VERY LIGHT AND FAST  $\tilde{\Phi}$  $O = e^{2\overline{\Phi}} - \frac{1}{n_0} \frac{2\overline{P}}{2\overline{2}} = e^{2\overline{\Phi}} - \frac{1}{n_0} \frac{2\overline{P}}{2\overline{2}}$   $e\overline{\Phi}/\tau^2 = e^{2\overline{\Phi}} - \frac{1}{n_0} \frac{2\overline{P}}{2\overline{2}}$   $e\overline{\Phi}/\tau^2 = e^{2\overline{\Phi}} - \frac{1}{n_0} \frac{2\overline{P}}{2\overline{2}}$ High As me -> 0 N(r), T(r)ADIABATIC ELECTRONS  $\delta V_{\rm H}$  $B_0$  $\delta E_{\parallel}$ long parallel wavelength PROVIDED & # + 0 Sm=mo et

1945-00 State 1954



 $\frac{2\pi}{3t} + \overline{D} \cdot (m\overline{V_{E}}) + \overline{D} \cdot (m\overline{V_{*}}) + \overline{D} \cdot (m\overline{V_{pic}}) + \overline{D} \cdot (m\overline{V_{ii}}) = 0$   $\frac{1}{3t} + \frac{1}{T} +$ "ION INFRTIAL"  $\left\{ \nabla \cdot (m\bar{\nu}_{\star}) = \nabla \cdot (\hat{z} \times \nabla P) \frac{1}{8B} \\ = \frac{1}{80} \left[ \nabla P \cdot (\nabla \times \hat{z}) - \hat{z} \cdot \nabla \times \nabla P \right] = 0 \right\}$  $\frac{\partial \tilde{m}}{\partial t} + \tilde{V}_{e} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{m}}{\partial t} = 0$  $\nabla \cdot (u V_{\tilde{e}}) = u \nabla \cdot V_{\tilde{e}} + V_{\tilde{e}} \cdot Du = V_{\tilde{e}} \cdot \nabla u_{0} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial u} + \frac{m_{0}}{B} \frac{\partial h_{Y}}{\partial u} = 0$ L, v. (2×Vy)/1=0

Ion E×B drift across radial density gradient

Review (2): Continuity (Drifting long)  $(2 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}}) = 0$   $(2 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}) = 0$  (

LET'S USE 10~ CONTINUITY EQUATION









### Review (3): Drift Wave Dispersion Relation m = et PARALLEL

ADIABATIC ELECTONAS

PERPENSICULA 102 DALFT DINAMICS



### Ion E×B drift across radial density gradient

$$\frac{\widehat{m}}{N} = \frac{k_{Y}}{BL_{N}} \widetilde{\Phi}$$

# Review (4a): Adding Ion Sound Waves

( IONS ANE HEAVY THEY HAVE POLARIZA THAT REDUCE THEY MOUR SLOWLY

TAKE DRIFT WAVES LIKE USUAL ACOUSTIC WAVE

 $\frac{2m}{2t} + \nabla \cdot (n\nabla) = 0$   $\frac{2m}{2t} + \nabla \cdot (n\nabla) = 0$   $\frac{2m}{2t} + \nabla \cdot (n\nabla_{I_{2L}} + n\nabla_{E}) + m \frac{2\nabla_{III}}{2t} = 0$   $-\frac{1}{2} \omega m + \nabla \cdot (n\nabla_{P_{2L}}) + \tilde{\nabla}_{E} \cdot \nabla m_{2} + i \frac{h_{ii}^{2}}{\omega} \frac{e\bar{\Phi}}{m_{i}} m_{e} = 0$ PERPENDICULAN 10~ > NEW ION INERITIAL TERM





l nice





# Review (4b): Adding Ion Inertia

### Next: Collisionless Drift Wave Instability and Transport Instability **Radial Transport**

ADIASANC	ELECTIONS:	m = et	(NO RADIAL TRANSPORT
	1DO ELECTARS'	₩ et	(1-i54)



This lecture

7

### **Physical Mechanism for the Collisionless Drift Wave Instability**

D. M. MEADE

Physics Department, University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin (Received 26 November 1968)

The growth rate for the collisionless drift instability is calculated in a manner which elucidates the physical mechanism for the instability.

Simple physical models have been useful in understanding fluid instabilities such as the flute instability. However, the collisionless drift wave can be derived only from the Vlasov equation, and its growth rate is determined by resonant particles interacting with the wave. In this note a simple calculation of the growth rate for a drift wave in a nonuniform collisionless plasma immersed in a uniform magnetic field is derived which agrees with the





electrons will be described here. A resonant electron at position a of Fig. 1 experiences a constant  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drift upward in the x direction. Similarly, at position b of Fig. 1 a resonant electron  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drifts downward. However, because of the density gradient there are more resonant electrons at position a than at position b. Since the electrons at position a are pushing against the electric field of the wave, there is a net transfer of parallel energy from the resonant electrons to the drift wave. This is the basic driving mechanism for the collisionless drift wave.

*Physics Fluids* **12**, 947 (1969); [https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1692583]



### Observation of radially propagating collisionless-drift-wave instability Y. Nishida,\* T. Dodo,<sup>†</sup> T. Kuroda, and G. Horikoshi<sup>†</sup>

Institute of Plasma Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan (Received 19 September 1972)

The radially traveling drift-wave instability is observed in a fully ionized collisionless plasma. The observed radial wave number is larger than the azimuthal wave number. The observed frequency, in the frame of  $E_r B_z$  plasma rotation, is in good agreement with the calculated frequency of the drift instability after the correction of finite ion inertia including the radial wave number, where  $E_r$  is a radial electric field and  $B_z$  is an axial magnetic field.

The experiment has been performed in the magnetic channel of a QP-Machine<sup>7</sup> which has an 8-m-long uniform magnetic field, variable from 0.6 to 3.5 kG. The vacuum chamber is made of glass, and its inner diameter is 15 cm. The plasma, produced by a PIG discharge, diffused along the magnetic field into the experimental region. The residual gas pressure was  $(1 \sim 2) \times 10^{-6}$  Torr. The helium plasma density was about  $5 \times 10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, the electron temperature 2.5 ~ 3.5 eV, and the ion temperature below 1 eV. A set of two probes, separated 90° each in the azimuthal direction  $\theta$ , is inserted into the plasma column at the same axial position. One of the probes can be moved radially across the plasma column, and the other is fixed at the desired position.

Journal Applied Physics 44, 1541 (197,3); [https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1662408]



FIG. 1. The calculated amplitude (---) and phase  $(\bigcirc)$  of the fluctuation as a function of the radial position. The plasma density profile is also shown by solid line. Vertical scales are a radian for the phase and an arbitrary linear for the amplitude and the density, respectively.





### **Anomalous Transport and Stabilization of Collisionless Drift-Wave Instabilities**\*

W. W. Lee and H. Okuda

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540 (Received 10 November 1975)

The nonlinear evolution of collisionless drift-wave instabilities and the associated plasma transport have been studied extensively using particle-code simulations. It is found that the quasilinear decay of the density profile gives rise to the nonlinear saturation. The results also indicate that a new mechanism of wave absorption is responsible for the observed anomalous energy transport, which, in general, is larger than the corresponding particle diffusion and is also less sensitive to shear.

To simulate a collisionless plasma,<sup>13</sup> the standard dipole-expansion technique with finite-size particles is used.<sup>14</sup> The guiding centers of the particles are loaded initially according to a prescribed density profile and with spatially uniform temperatures on a  $64 \times 32$   $(L_x \times L_y)$  spatial grid. Maxwellian velocity distributions are also used. The parameters of the simulations are  $m_i/m_e$ =25,  $T_e/T_i = 4$ ,  $\lambda_{De}/\Delta = 2$ ,  $\omega_{ce}/\omega_{pe} = 2$ , the average number density  $\langle n \rangle = 8/\Delta^2$ , and *a*(rms of a Gaussian particle) = 1.5 $\Delta$ . The mesh size  $\Delta$  is taken as the unit length. All the frequencies are measured in terms of  $\omega_{pe}$ . These parameters give  $k_x \rho_i = 0.12m$  and  $k_y \rho_i = 0.49n$  where m, n $=1, 2, 3, \ldots$  Exact dynamics for the particle pushing have been used with  $\Delta t = 0.5$ .

 $\partial (\overline{n}_0, \overline{T}_{e\parallel}) / \partial t = (D_{\perp}, K_{e\perp}) \partial^2 (\overline{n}_0, \overline{T}_{e\parallel}) / \partial x^2$ , is used. The average coefficients versus shear for the hyperbolic tangent profile are shown in Fig. 2. For the shear-free case, the measured  $D_{\perp}$  is about 25% of the values given by the Bohm diffusion,  $D_{\perp} \sim cT_{e}/16eB_{0}$ , and the turbulent diffusion,  $D_{\perp}$  $\gamma/k_{\perp}^2$ , by Kadomtsev,<sup>1</sup> while  $K_{e\perp}$  is about four times bigger. These are also true for the shear-



FIG. 1. (a) Time evolution of the density profiles. (b) Growth of the density modulation for n = 1 mode. (c) Mode structures for n = 1 mode. (d) Parallel electron heat transfer patterns. (e) Average velocity distributions.





### Numerical computation of collisionless drift wave turbulence

Frank Jenko and Bruce D. Scott

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, 85748 Garching, Germany

(Received 28 December 1998; accepted 17 March 1999)

Collisionless drift waves are studied by means of nonlinear numerical simulations in a three-dimensional sheared slab geometry. The electron dynamics is described by a drift-kinetic equation, and the ions are treated as a cold fluid. The energy spectra of the turbulent fluctuations and the dependence of the resulting anomalous transport on various dimensionless plasma parameters are investigated. It is shown that this model resolves fundamental contradictions between experimental results and linear drift wave theory, especially the dependence of turbulent transport on radial position but also the scaling with ion mass. © 1999 American Institute of Physics. [S1070-664X(99)03606-X]

### **E.** Particle and energy transport

The fluxes

$$\Gamma = \langle n v_x \rangle, \quad Q = \frac{3}{2} \langle p v_x \rangle$$

with

$$\frac{3}{2}p = \frac{1}{2}p_{\parallel} + p_{\perp} = \frac{3}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}T_{\parallel} + T_{\perp}$$
(31)

and  $v_x = -\partial_y \phi$  characterize the turbulent particle and heat transport in the radial direction. Here  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  denotes spatial averaging over the simulation domain. As a consequence of the above normalizations,  $\Gamma$  and Q are normalized to  $D_{GB}(n_{e0}/L_{\perp})$  and  $D_{GB}(p_{e0}/L_{\perp})$ , respectively, with the gyro-Bohm transport coefficient

Physics Plasmas 6, 2418 (1999); [https://doi.org/10.1063/1.873513]

**JUNE 1999** 



FIG. 2.  $k_v$  spectra for the fluctuation energies of the **E**×**B** drift ( $E_e$ ), electron density  $(E_n)$ , and electron temperature  $(E_t)$ . The system is driven primarily at intermediate length scales,  $2\pi/k_v \sim 20\rho_s$ , corresponding to 1.3 cm for the nominal plasma edge parameters given in Sec. II.



FIG. 3. Dependence of the turbulent particle transport  $\Gamma$  on the parameter  $\hat{\mu} = 2/\alpha_e^2$  which controls the adiabaticity of the collisionless drift wave system ( $\hat{s} = 2/\pi, \omega_t = 0$ ).

portant than electron Landau damping. The most important parameter in the present electrostatic collisionless model is  $\alpha_e = (L_\perp / qR)(2M_p / m_e)^{1/2}$ , which is given by the ratio of the parallel to the perpendicular dynamical frequencies, i.e., thermal electron transit frequency  $k_{\parallel}v_{e} \sim v_{T}/qR$  to electron

 $D_{GB} = \frac{c T_{e0} \rho_s}{e B L_{\perp}}$ 

(30)







## **Review of Sound Waves**



WHAT OTHER PHYSICS IS IMPORTANT TO PLASMA SOUND MAJES?

### Kinetic Parallel Electron Dynamics for (Slow) Sound Waves

.

KINETIC ELECTRONS!

eht au Motor A= Cour



## **Electron Landau Damping for Acoustic Waves**

LEADING ONDER ELECTION NESPONSO ...

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} e \overline{e} \\ + \overline{e} \end{array}\right)$   $S_{1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} M_{1} \\ - M_{2} \\ - M_{$ 

DUT WHAT ADOUT LANDAU DANDING ...

.





14

## Electron Landau Damping

(What about ion Landau damping?)



 $D(w, h) = 1 - \frac{h^2 c^2}{\pi^2} + i \sqrt{\pi} c$ 

WEAR DANDING APROXIMATION...

 $D = D_R + i D_F$   $(u_R) > (u_T)$  $D_{n}(w_{n}) = 0$   $D_{T}(w_{n}) = 0$   $D_{T} + w_{T} \frac{2D_{n}}{2w} = 0$   $\omega_{T} = -\frac{P_{T}}{2D_{n}} = -\frac{V_{T}}{2M} \frac{1}{2/w}$   $\frac{\omega_{T}}{2} = -\frac{2D_{n}}{2D_{n}} = -\frac{1}{2/w}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  $\left(\begin{array}{c} \omega_{I} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ \omega_{R} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}$ 

Are Plasma Sound Waves Damped?  $\begin{array}{l} \left( \text{ELECTNONS} \right) & \left( 10 - 5 \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{m} \\ \widehat{m} \end{array} \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} \left( \widehat{e} \\ \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{m} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \left( \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{m} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \left( \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \left( \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \left( \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \left( \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

# Electron Kinetics in the "Drift" Regime

 $2f + v \cdot \nabla f + \frac{e}{2} \nabla f \cdot \frac{2e}{2} = 0$ DUT IN DRIFT REGIMO Fo(X, V,) WHEAS (Xqc, V,) MUST DE CONSTANTS OF !! WHEAS (Xqc, V,) MUST DE MOTION  $V_{i} = C.O.M.$  IF  $\frac{2\delta}{22} = E_{i} \rightarrow 0$  critical S=- L GxZ Dut  $X = X_{qc} + P_{x}(t)$  $\begin{array}{cccc} & T & T & T & \\ & & T & & T & genomotion & S_{\chi}(t) = U_{\chi}(t)/\omega_{c} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$ So  $\chi_{1c} = \chi - V_{1}/\omega_{c}$   $f_{1c} = \chi - V_{1}/\omega_{c}$ P=muteA P=muteA T Auscroan Auscroan







# Electron Slow ( $\omega << \omega_{ci}$ ) Drift Response

(19~UNE Up(e) TERMS) EAST Up(e) TERMS)





## Evaluating Electron Landau Damping Term...



 $\widehat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ F \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega - A_{i}, v_{i} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \omega - A_{i}, v_{i} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \omega - A_{i}, v_{i} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$  $= \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right) \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left( \begin{array}{c} e \overline{f} \\ - \end{array} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} \right] \left[ F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} - F_{0} \right] \left[ F_{0} - \left[ F_{0} - F_$ 

 $=\left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{F}\\ \overline{F}\end{array}\right)\left[1-i\frac{\sqrt{F_{1}}}{h_{1}}\left(h_{1}V_{0}-\omega\right)\right]$ 

$$\frac{k_{i} v_{i} - k_{i} v_{o}}{\omega - k_{i} v_{i}} F_{o}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{10}-\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{10}\right)$$
 Fo

$$\left( u - h_{r} V_{0} \right) = F_{0}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{5} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{5} \mathcal{L}_{5}}{\mathcal{L}_{5} \mathcal{L}_{5}}$$

-> PAINCIPE

## **Collisionless** Drift Wave



![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$h_{ii} Smatch D = 1 - \frac{h_{i} v_{0}}{\omega} + h_{i}^{2} g_{i}^{2} + i g_{i}$$

$$\omega = \frac{h_{i} v_{0}}{1 + h_{i}^{2} g_{i}^{2}}$$

= i UTI (A, vo - w) (J, vo) A, vmb (A, vo - w) (J, vo) So w < J, vo - NSTATBILITE

20

# Next: Low-Frequency Magnetic Terms

ADIASARC ELECTRONS:

NON-ASIABATIC ELECTIONS;

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

Re (w)-hy "e

COLLISIONS ALONG B

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)