

Snowmass 1999 Fusion Summer Study Survey Results

The results of the survey sent to the participants of the 1999 Fusion Summer Study are presented below. A total of 111 responses were received (approximately 33% of participants in the study).

This report shows the question that was asked and provides a graphical representation of the results. In a number of areas, individuals commented on various topics. Those comments, which have been edited to reduce the volume but not the comment, are at the end of this report.


The organizing committee would like to thank all of those participants who contributed their input to this survey. This information will be used to improve the process.

Mike Mauel
 Rich Hawryluk
 Grant Logan

Objectives and Deliverables of the 1999 Summer Study:

The overall objectives of the 1999 Fusion Summer Study to "develop a scientific and technical basis for consensus on: the key issues and the opportunities" in fusion energy science for the next decade were met.


1 2 3 4 5
 agree neutral disagree



109 responded.

The objectives and scientific agenda of the 1999 Fusion Summer Study were appropriate and sufficient in light of the time and money invested in Snowmass, and its relation to FESAC/SEAB/NSF.


1 2 3 4 5
 agree neutral disagree



111 responded.

The 1999 Fusion Summer Study provided valuable input to the development of the U.S. fusion energy community's plan for fusion energy science research.


1 2 3 4 5
 agree neutral disagree



110 responded.

The Fusion Summer Study successfully brought together researchers from a representative group of the fusion community to discuss in detail the future directions of the field.

1 2 3 4 5
 agree neutral disagree




111 responded.

Scientific and Technical Organization

The overall scientific and technical organization and structure of the Summer Study was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



109 responded.

The schedule and organization of the fusion concept (morning) working groups was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



106 responded.

The planning and preparation performed by the Organizing Committee was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



108 responded.

The schedule and organization of the cross-cutting issue (afternoon) working groups was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



108 responded.

The topics and invited lectures presented during the opening plenary session was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



105 responded.

I was able to make technical contributions to the subtopical discussion groups.


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



108 responded.

The discussion leaders were effective in keeping discussion on track and following a reasonable agenda.


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



106 responded.

The midweek status reports of the working groups and subtropical discussion groups was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



101 responded.

The opportunity to have an open discussion of the draft working group summaries during the second Thursday was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



93 responded.

The oral working group summaries presented on the second Friday was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



88 responded.

The content planned for the Proceedings of the Summer Study is

1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable




95 responded.

Meeting Site

The Snowmass location for the site of the 1999 Fusion Summer Study was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



110 responded.

The Snowmass hotel /condominium accommodations were


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



109 responded.

The restaurant and eating options available at Snowmass was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



109 responded.

Services provided by the conference center staff at Snowmass was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



108 responded.

The transportation services provided between the meeting rooms was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



107 responded.

The audio-visual equipment and assistance was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



103 responded.

The recreational opportunities offered by the Snowmass location was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



104 responded.

The meeting facilities during the plenary sessions was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



109 responded.

The Barbecue and Rodeo was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



68 responded.

The rooms used for the subtopical discussion groups was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



109 responded.

The Saturday chair-lift excursion to the top of the mountain was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



64 responded.

The Fusion Summer Study Office was


1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable



104 responded.

The computer services provided during the Fusion Summer Study was

1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 unacceptable neutral acceptable




106 responded.

Suggestions for Future Fusion Summer Studies

There should be another fusion summer study in the summer of the following year:


2000 [] 2001 [] 2002 [] 2003 [] Never []



95 responded.

Any future Fusion Summer Study should be initiated with a proposal to the APS-DPP Executive Committee.

1 [] 2 [] 3 [] 4 [] 5 []
 agree neutral disagree



102 responded.